

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/762,530	05/15/2001	Reto Sieber	F 6817	5031
7590 08/17/2005		EXAMINER		
Jordan and Hamburg 122 East 42nd Street			AHMAD, NASSER	
New York, NY 10168			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1772	
			DATE MAIL ED .000 2000	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/762,530	SIEBER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nasser Ahmad	1772			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ju	<u>ıne 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) Claim(s) 11-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application	on Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment	(s)					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/762,530

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Rejections Maintained

- 1. Claims 11-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marchal (GB: 2063710) for reasons of record in the last Office Action, paragraph-4, mailed on February 8, 2005.
- 2. Claims 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marchal in view of Su (5462782) for reasons of record in the last Office Action, paragraph-5.
- 3. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marchal for reasons of record in the last Office Action, paragraph-6.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed June 7, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Marchal's surface is not planar and fails to cover the entire surface. This is not deemed to be convincing because the "covers the entire surface" phrase could not be located in the claims and cannot be read thereinto for the purpose of avoiding the applied prior art. Further, when the cross-section surface of Marchal is viewed, it shows a planar.

Applicant also argues that claims 13-15 are directed to a textile structure in the backing layer and that examiner asserts without support that "the textile structure would include weave of intersecting threads and hence, a meshed arrangement". Theses are not found to be persuasive because Marchal clearly teaches that the backing layer can

Application/Control Number: 09/762,530

Art Unit: 1772

include textile which may be treated to make it impermeable. It is well known in the textile art that textile are woven or knit cloth wherein the weave is in a mesh arrangement. Further, Marchal, in page-2, lines 31-32, states that backing (1) may consists of a weave of intersecting threads. As mentioned in the last Office Action, paragraph-4, the particular mesh shape would have been obvious design choice modification for changing the shape of the mesh and the spacing would have been obvious based on optimization through routine experimentation to provide for optimum strength to the tape structure.

As for the claims 29-31, the above explanation apply a fortiori herein.

Thus, in the absence of any evidence to the contrary, it remains the examiner's position that the claimed invention would have been obvious over the prior art of record discussed above.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/762,530

Art Unit: 1772

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nasser Ahmad

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad. August 15, 2005.